



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2
DESA/HWSB/HWSS
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, Edison, NJ 08837

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

Case No.: 48579

Site: Pierson's Creek

Number of Samples: 19 (Water)

Analysis: Cyanide

SDG No.: MBFQS1

Laboratory: Bonner Analytical Testing Company

Sampling dates: 12/9/19 – 12/11/19

Validation SOP: HW-3c (Rev. 1)

QAPP

Contractor: CDM Smith

Reference: DCN 3323-060-03778, February 2019

SUMMARY OF DEFINITIONS:

Critical: Results have an unacceptable level of uncertainty and should not be used for making decisions. Data have been qualified “R” rejected.

Major: A level of uncertainty exists that may not meet the data quality objectives for the project. A bias is likely to be present in the results. Data has been qualified “J” estimated. “J+” and “J-“ represent likely direction of the bias.

Minor: The level of uncertainty is acceptable. No significant bias in the data was observed.

Critical Findings:

None

Major Findings:

Sample MBFQW5 has an analyte that has been qualified J, J+ or J-.

Minor Findings:

One or more analytes in one or more samples are qualified “J” due to results between MDL and CRQL.

COMMENT: One or more detected and non-detected analytes exceeded the project action levels in one or more samples.

Reviewer Name(s): Israel Okwuonu

Approver's Signature:

Date: 02/14/2020

Name: Narendra Kumar

Affiliation: USEPA/R2/HWSB/HWSS



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2
DESA/HWSB/HWSS
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, Edison, NJ 08837

Data Qualifier Definitions (National Functional Guidelines)			
Qualifier Symbol	Explanation		
	INORGANICS	ORGANICS	CHLORINATED DIOXIN/FURAN
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported quantitation limit.	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the level of the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL) for sample and method	The analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The value preceding the "U" may represent the adjusted Contract Required Quantitation Limit (see DLM02.X, Exhibit D, Section 1.2 and Table 2), or the sample specific estimated detection limit (EDL, see Method 8290A, Section 11.9.5).
J	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.	The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to the quality of the data generated because certain quality control criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the CRQL).	The analyte was positively identified and the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample (due either to an issue with the quality of the data generated because certain QC criteria were not met, or the concentration of the analyte was below the adjusted CRQL).
J+	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.	
J-	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.	The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.	
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.	The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted CRQL. However, the reported adjusted CRQL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.	The analyte was not detected (see definition of "U" flag, above). The reported value should be considered approximate.
R	The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting Quality Control (QC) criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.	The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.	The sample results are unusable due to the quality of the data generated because certain criteria were not met. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
N		The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification".	
NJ		The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.	
C		This qualifier applies to pesticide and Aroclor results when the identification has been confirmed by Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS).	
X		This qualifier applies to pesticide and Aroclor results when GC/MS analysis was attempted but was unsuccessful.	



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2
DESA/HWSB/HWSS
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, Edison, NJ 08837

DATA ASSESSMENT

ANALYSIS: CYANIDE

The current SOP HW-3c (Rev 1) September 2016, USEPA Region II for the evaluation of Cyanide generated through Statement of Work ISOM02.2, and any future editorial revisions of ISOM02.2 has been applied. Data have been reviewed according to TDF specifications, the National Functional Guidelines Report and the CCS Semi- Automated Screening Results Report.

1. HOLDING TIME AND PRESERVATION

The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the specified holding time, pH (aqueous samples), or cooler temperature are not within the acceptable range, the data may not be valid. Those analytes detected in the samples whose holding time (14 days) and pH (≥ 12) have not been met, will be qualified as estimated, "J"; the non-detects (sample quantitation limits) will be flagged as unusable, "R". Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

2. CALIBRATION

Method requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data for cyanide. Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of the analytical run. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) demonstrates that the initial calibration is still valid by checking the performance of the instrument on a continuing basis.

A) INITIAL CALIBRATION

A blank and at least five calibration standards shall be employed to establish the analytical curve. At least one of the calibration standards shall be at or below the Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL). The calibration curve shall be fitted using linear regression or weighted linear regression. The curve may be forced through zero. The calibration curve for cyanide shall possess a correlation coefficient of ≥ 0.995 to ensure the linearity over the calibrated range. The percent differences calculated for all of the non-zero standards must be within $\pm 30\%$ of the true value of the standard. The y-intercept of the curve must be less than the CRQL. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.

B) INITIAL AND CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Immediately after each system has been calibrated, the accuracy of the initial calibration must be verified and documented for cyanide by the analysis of an ICV solution(s).

The CCV standard shall be analyzed at a frequency of every hour during an analytical run. The CCV standard shall also be analyzed at the beginning of the run, and again after the last analytical sample. The percent recovery acceptable limits for ICV/CCV are 85 – 115%. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

No problems were found for this criterion.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2
DESA/HWSB/HWSS
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, Edison, NJ 08837

3. BLANK CONTAMINATION

Quality assurance (QA) blanks, i.e., method, field, or rinse blanks are prepared to identify any contamination, which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Field and rinse blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during field operations. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below.

FIELD BLANK: MBFQX8, MBFQX9

The following sample has analyte results less than the CRQLs. The associated field blank analyte results are greater than the CRQLs. Detects are qualified as U. Sample results are reported at CRQLs.

Cyanide MBFR94

4. SPIKE SAMPLE ANALYSIS

The spiked sample analysis is designed to provide information about the effect of each sample matrix on the sample preparation procedures and the measurement methodology. The spike Percent Recovery (%R) shall be within the established acceptance limits of 75 – 125%. However, spike recovery limits do not apply when the sample concentration is $\geq 4x$ the spike added. For a matrix spike analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample used to prepare the matrix spike sample.

The following sample is associated with Matrix Spike sample that has spike analyte %R less than 30% and Post-digestion spike analyte %R greater than or equal to 75%. Detects are qualified as J. Non-detects are qualified as UJ.

Cyanide MBFWQ5

5. DUPLICATE SAMPLE ANALYSIS

The objective of duplicate sample analysis is to demonstrate acceptable method precision by the laboratory at the time of analysis. A control limit of 20% for the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) shall be used for original and duplicate sample values \geq five times (5x) the Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL). A control limit of the CRQL shall be used if either the sample or duplicate value is $< 5x$ the CRQL. For a duplicate sample analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample used to prepare the duplicate sample.

No problems were found for this criterion.

6. FIELD DUPLICATE MBFQS5/MBFR94

Field duplicates may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and laboratory precision. A control limit of 20% for the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) shall be used for original and duplicate sample values \geq five times (5x) the Contract Required Quantitation Limit (CRQL). A control limit of the CRQL shall be used if either the sample or duplicate value is $< 5x$ the CRQL. For field duplicates analysis that does not meet the technical criteria, the action was applied to only the field sample and it's duplicate.

No problems were found for this criterion.



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 2
DESA/HWSB/HWSS
2890 Woodbridge Avenue, Edison, NJ 08837

7. PERCENT SOLIDS

The laboratory is required to perform the percent solids determination prior to sample preparation and analysis. Qualifications were applied to the samples and analytes as shown below

Not applicable.

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS0

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-13

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 10:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS1

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-14

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 09:50:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS2

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-15

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/11/2019

Sample Time: 13:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	16.2		ug/L	16.2	*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS3

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-16

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/11/2019

Sample Time: 13:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS4

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-17

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 12:15:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS5

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-18

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 12:15:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS6

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-19

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/11/2019

Sample Time: 11:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQS7

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-20

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/11/2019

Sample Time: 09:55:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	33.5		ug/L	33.5	*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQT0

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-23

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/11/2019

Sample Time: 10:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQT1

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: MW-103

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 14:15:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQT9

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: T1

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 10:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW2

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: T4

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 10:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	36.4		ug/L	36.4	*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW5

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: T9

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 11:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	UJ	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW5A

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location:

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 11:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Spike	28.2		ug/L	28.2		1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW5D

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location:

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 11:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW5S

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location:

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 11:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Spike	19.6		ug/L	19.6	*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW6

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: T12

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 12:30:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	3.7	J	ug/L	3.7	J*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQW9

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: T16

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 12:45:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQX2

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: T23

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/10/2019

Sample Time: 11:30:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQX8

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: Rinsate Blank

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 15:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	30.0		ug/L	30.0	*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFQX9

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: Rinsate Blank

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/11/2019

Sample Time: 15:00:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: MBFR94

Method: Cyanide

Matrix: Water

MA Number:

Sample Location: TMW-18

pH: 12.

Sample Date: 12/09/2019

Sample Time: 12:15:00

% Moisture:

% Solids:

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10	U	ug/L	6.6	J*	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.

Sample Number: PBW01	Method: Cyanide	Matrix: Water	MA Number:
Sample Location:	pH:	Sample Date:	Sample Time:
% Moisture:		% Solids:	

Analyte Name	Analyte Type	Validation Result	Validation Flag	Units	Lab Result	Lab Flag	Dilution Factor	Reportable	Validation Level
Cyanide	Target	10.0	U	ug/L	10.0	U	1	YES	S3VEM

Sample Summary Report

Project Name: PIERSON'S CREEK Project

GroupID: 48579/EPW14029/MBFQS1

Lab Name: Bonner Analytical Testing Co.